

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,429	02/26/2002	Kenichi Matsuoka	P/1071-1536	6725
759	90 06/14/2004	•	EXAMINER	
Keating & Bennett, LLP 10400 Eaton Place			JONES, STEPHEN E	
Suite 312	ice		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fairfax, VA 22	2030		2817	
•			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/083,429	MATSUOKA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen E. Jones	2817				
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover shat with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	lovember 2003.					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-18</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	** *	• •	•			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	•).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attech	nt(e)		·				
Attachmen	it(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 2/3/03,1/26/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail					

Application/Control Number: 10/083,429 Page 2

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (drawn to a nonreciprocal device) in the reply filed on 11/12/03 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 8-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/12/03.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

It appears that the phrase "said protruding portions" should read as --said protruding portion-- since only one protruding portion is previously described.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/083,429

Art Unit: 2817

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makino et al. (US 6,037,844) in view of Izumida et al. (US 4,195,246).

Makino teaches a nonreciprocal device including all of the claimed invention (including Claims 6-7) except a protruding portion on one bonding surface of one of the yoke/housing members (2 and 5) with the protruding portion contacting and welded to a second bonding surface of the other housing member (Claims 1-2), a plurality of protruding portions (Claims 3-4), or that the height of the protruding portion is 150 micrometers or less (Claim 5).

Note that the product-by-process limitation of being "resistance" welded is not given any patentable weight since only the final product structure is patentable in an apparatus claim.

Izumida et al. provides an exemplary teaching method for joining two conductively contacting electrodes including: two flat electrodes with one having a protrusion on its bonding surface and the protrusion if welded to the bonding surface of the other flat electrode (see Col. 3, lines 57-65). Also the protrusion can be .05 mm in height (i.e. 50 micrometers) (e.g. see Col. 3, lines 48-51).

It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included welded protrusions (such as taught by Izumida) between the overlapping flat

Art Unit: 2817

contacting portions of the housing of the Makino device, because it would have provided the advantageous benefit of good welding strength and thus a reliable electrical contact (see Izumida Col. 3, lines 62-65), thereby suggesting the obviousness of such a modification. Also, to have provided multiple protrusion welds would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because it would have provided the advantageous benefit of an even stronger weld strength and thus an even more reliable electrical contact between the two housing pieces.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen E. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-1762. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Jones

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2817